

Sample Design  
to explain the Specification Card Approach for  
Conceptual Software Design:

# WissDB

A system

to store & retrieve Knowledge Items

Part 1:

The Data Model

URI = D\_ ( WissDB / Design / The Data Model )

This page is empty

# Contents

<b>Purpose of this Document.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Document Status.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Management Summary.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>1 WissDB: Data Model &amp; Data Model Semantics.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>How to work with Aspects.....</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Knowledge Packages.....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>2 WissDB: The Logical Data Model.....</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>3 WissDB: The Physical Data Model.....</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>4 ERD Notation: Our formal Language to specify Data Models.....</b>	<b>29</b>

This page is empty

## Purpose of this Document

This paper might be part of a series of papers which constitute the conceptual and logical design of the WissDB Archive System which is

- to structure, store and index software engineering knowledge as well as results, such as best practices, sample design or black boxes containing reusable code
- and support the user in finding and retrieving such knowledge in a sufficiently selective, **activity, role, or association related** way.

Associations in this sense are binary associations of different, freely configurable semantics.

### Basis of the Design are:

- D\_( WissDB / Requirements )

### This Document's URI is:

- D\_( WissDB / Design / The Data Model )

## Document Status

Revision 0.1

Last Update 06/08/2016

Author Gebhard Greiter

Purpose [This document is to serve as a not too simple example how to create software design in form of Specification Cards, and how to present them in a Project Web \(i.e. in HTML, well indexed and heavily hyper-linked across arbitrarily many documents\).](#)

This page is empty

## Management Summary

This document is to specify a

### Conceptual and Physical Data Model

for a system **WissDB** to manage reusable software engineering results and best practices. **WissDB** is

- to structure, store and index knowledge, design and black boxes containing code
- and support the user in finding and retrieving these items in a sufficiently selective **activity, role, or association related** way.

**WissDB**, as a concept, consists of

- a data model (= C\_WissDB\_DM specified in this document )
- an application API (= C\_WissDB\_API )
- and a dedicated high level storage API (= C\_WissDB\_DL\_API )

**DL\_API** is to be understood as an abstract DBMS with an API supporting in a best possibly way the implementation of **WissDB** Business Transactions.

**API** – is the set of all methods that can be directly invoked by **WissDB** applications. Each method call is designed to the effect that it could be wrapped as a **Web Service**.

Consequences of this design are:

- **WissDB** can have presentation layers of any form, especially a web-based user interface easy to integrate into any company's intranet.
- Feeding knowledge (e.g. updated versions of practice instances) to **WissDB** is easy to automate.
- Extracting knowledge from **WissDB** in an accountable, easily reproducible way is possible.

To make the use of code generators possible, the data model is specified in a notation that is both easy to parse and easy to read by humans.

The notation we use is specified in the section 5 at the end of this paper (the reader is asked to read it in parallel with section 2).

Together with this document you should have received a HTML presentation of the **WissDB** Entity Relationship Diagram (a file **WissDB.ERD.htm** easier to maintain and therefore to be used as the final reference – less important attributes may be described only there).





Locators have to be seen as logical URLs (so-called URIs). The WissDB server is to map them to concrete URLs (resp. onto resources that are to be protected by access permissions).

As we will learn in the following, **Instance Locators** do always start with a corresponding schema locator.

Example: This document here has for its instance locator the URI `WissDB/Result/WissDB/Design/Data Model Specification` (the last slash in locators is seen only in the view of the DBMS).

It is important to note that WissDB is managing, first of all, meta data representing information about knowledge items. To store knowledge items itself, WissDB may rely on one or more other systems.

Before we now start to design entity types, let us define all domain types needed. Please note that that the boxes Item Type and Description Type shown in the picture above collapse into only one domain type `D_ItemType`: The Description of a Result will from now on be seen as being a specific part of the Result. It should be given a locator matching the pattern

**D\_Locator / Result / Result Name / Description.**

Example: If in the WissDB Project we had a document specifying what the outcome of the design phase should be, this paper's URI would be `WissDB/Result/Design/Description`.

**Note:** `WissDB/Result/Design` is to be understood as a result type (not as an activity). An activity may have results of different types.

In addition to `D_Locator`, subsystem `WissDB` of `WissDB` defines the following domain types:

- d           **D\_ ItemType**                           INT

Valid values are:

- v           . Description of Process
- v           . Description of Role
- v           . Description of Result
- v           . Description of Practice Candidate
- v           . Practice Candidate (a zipped Knowledge Package)
- v           . Solution Requirement
- v           . Solution Concept
- v           . Solution Code
- v           . Business
- v           . Technology
- v           . Advice
- v           . Information

Please note: In this and also all the following domain types the set of valid values should be capable of being redefined when need arises. So, what we show in this document, is more or less a suggestion only.

To give an example: In the picture on page 3 there is a value **Solution** mentioned. In the design here we have split it up into three more specific values (**Solution Requirement**, **Solution Design**, and **Solution Code**).

To implement WissDB in a way guaranteeing such flexibility should be seen as an important requirement.

- d           **D\_ PracticeType**                    INT

Valid values are:

- v           . Best Practice
- v           . Lesson Learned

- d           **D\_ ViewType**                        INT

Valid values are:

- v           . Concept
- v           . Implementation

- d            **D\_ AbstractionType**            INT

Valid values are:

- v            . Solution
- v            . Template
- v            . Pattern
- v            . Strategy

- d            **D\_ UsageType**            INT

Valid values are:

- v            . Sample
- v            . Reference
- v            . Use after customization
- v            . Use as is

- d            **D\_ CorrelationType**            INT

Valid values are at least:

- v            . B is Solution Concept for Requirement A
- v            . B is Code implementing Concept A
- v            . B is Solution Concept based on Technology A

During the lifetime of WissDB many more such values might be added.

In order to ensure that the set of valid values for enumeration domain types can be reconfigured (or at least extended), we implement an auxiliary table documenting these values:

- ec            **E\_ DomainValues**

- eca,pk	A_DomName	D_DomainName
- eca,pk	A_DomValue	D_ValueName
- eca,nn	A_ValueAsNr	D_ValueNumber
- eca	A_Semantics	D_Comment
- eca	A_ObsoleteSince	D_Date



```

- ec          E_Candidate
              |
- eca,pk     A_                e_KnowledgeItem
- eca,nn     A_from            D_EmailAddress

```

```

- ec          E_Result
              |
- eca,pk     A_                e_KnowledgeItem
- eca,nn     A_View            D_ViewType
- eca,nn     A_Abstraction     D_AbstractionType
- eca,nn     A_Usage           D_UsageType
- eca        A_isResultOf      E_Process

```

Valid values of type E\_Result.A\_Loc need to match the pattern D\_Locator/**Result**/D\_Name.

All items that are seen as part of such a Result have to have a locator being prefixed by the Result's locator.

```

- ec          R_Is_related_to
              |
- eca,pk     A_A                E_KnowledgeItem
- eca,pk     A_B                E_KnowledgeItem
- eca,pk     A_Correlation      D_CorrelationType

```

This model implies that each result may be a hierarchy of smaller knowledge items. The nesting is given via the item locators. Furthermore – because of the generic relation R\_Is\_related\_to – a result can also have correlation structure.

```

- ec          E_Practice
              |
- eca,pk     A_                e_Result
- eca        A_PracticeType    D_PracticeType
- eca        A_reuse_0         D_Counter
- eca        A_reuse_1         D_Counter
- eca        A_reuse_2         D_Counter
- eca        A_reuse_3         D_Counter

```

Semantics:

A\_reuse\_0 = number of downloads

A\_reuse\_1 = number of ratings "reuse value minimal"

A\_reuse\_2 = number of ratings "reuse value moderate"

A\_reuse\_3 = number of ratings "reuse value quite high"

We see: Specific results can be marked to be either **Best Practice**, or **Lesson Learned**.

Users who downloaded such a **Practice** instance could some time afterwards receive an e-mail asking them for a rating. The data model allows to maintain such rating results.

Note also: If a result is a practice instance, it may loose this quality later on (because it is always possible that better practices are found, or simply because technology changes to the effect that previous best practice solutions are no longer acceptable).

Given the fact that only Results can be Practice items, two questions could be asked:

- Could it be useful also to support the classification of any item as Best Practice or Lesson Learned?
- Could it be useful to support practice instances that are a set of results?

The current design does not support practice instances to be a sequence of results that are not nested into each other

- because that would complicate the model,
- because practice instances should not get too large anyway (the smaller a practice instance is the greater the chance that it will be reused), and
- because via their locators you always could give results a hierarchical structure: a structure nesting results into more complex results.

There could, e.g. be a result

The WissDB System

and nested therein

The WissDB System/ **Result**/ The WissDB System.

You should also note that the data model proposed here does not force us to assign, to a given result, a specific process – we are only allowed to do so.

Though we do not support classifying each item as Best Practice or Lesson Learned, the user will always be able to do so by choosing a Locator that makes that item a result.

So you see: A **Result** is a knowledge item we can associate with an **Process** (i.e. a named activity). Having done so, the result may also be associated with a **Role**. We can – but need not – make it a **Practice Instance**.

Results should always be well documented, and so there could e.g. be a convention saying that each Result is to have a **Description** (our data model does not enforce this per se, but item locators will always show you whether there is such documentation: Items that are result descriptions are to have a locator matching the pattern

D\_Locator/ **Result**/ NameOfResult/ **Description**

The last part of the WissDB data model is to support the indexing of knowledge items:

```
- ec          R_ Is_keyword_for
|
- eca,pk     A_keyword          E_Aspect
- eca,pk     A_for              E_KnowledgeItem
```

```
- ec          E_ Aspect
|
- eca,pk     A_Loc              D_Locator
```

If X/Y is an E\_Aspect.A\_Loc, then X is said to be a **Knowledge Area** for which **Aspect** Y makes sense.

Examples could be:

```
X = Software/Implementation
Y = Technology
or
X = Project Management
Y = Risk Management/Checklist
```

The rationale for this modeling is: If a user is indexing an item by associating keywords to it, he should be asked to do so by first selecting a knowledge area and then, in a second step, one or more existing aspects (which again could be knowledge areas).

To have such a structure on the set of all keywords allowed will help us to restrict any search for result items to quite specific knowledge areas.

Finally we have means to associate processes and results to concrete projects. This however is an additional view applications may or may not have use for:

- d                    **D\_ ProjectLocator**    Positive Integer

- ec                    **E\_ Alias**

                         |  
- eca,pk            A\_Nr                            D\_ProjectLocator  
- eca                A\_Loc                            D\_Locator

Values of type E\_Alias.A\_Loc are not allowed to contain one of the reserved names **Aspect, Process, Role, Result, Description, Structure,** or **Selector**. They may however start with a D\_ProjectLocator



## How to work with Aspects

Knowledge areas could be, e.g.

- Area **Project Management** with aspects
  - Time Management
  - Cost Management
  - Quality Management
  - Team Management
  - Risk Management
  
- Area **Software Development** with aspects
  - Analysis
  - Requirements Management
  - Design
  - Implementation
  - Test
  - Delivery
  - Support

The keyword **Prototyping** could make sense in the context of **Risk Management** and also in the context of **Implementation**, and so

**Aspect/** Project Management/ Risk Management and  
**Aspect/** Software Development/ Implementation

should both be knowledge areas containing **Prototyping** as an aspect (or even a subarea).

If the user would then search for knowledge via a query

**Aspect =** Project Management/ Risk Management/ Prototyping,

only items would be found that speak about prototyping in the context of risk management.

To have, in this sense, **keywords in context** (not just keywords) is very helpful and should be considered an important requirement.

## Knowledge Packages

Knowledge that shall be imported into WissDB as well as knowledge that is to be exported (as a search result) is exchanged between user and system in form of knowledge packages:

A **Knowledge Package** is a zipped tree of files representing

- process structure,
- knowledge items,
- attributes of knowledge items,
- and also correlation structure.

A knowledge package is said to be **well formed** if:

- For each file in the package the path starting with the package root and ending with the name of the file is a D\_Locator.
- Directly under the root of the package there is a file named **Structure**.
- Directly under each subtree root named **Result/** there is also **Structure** file.
- All paths starting under the root of the package start with a Schema Locator.
- Each **Structure** file is ASCII text in Knowledge Structure Format describing all nodes found in the tree that is rooted in the node of which this file is a son (structure files ignored).

A file containing ASCII text is said to be in **Knowledge Structure Format** if:

- The first column of each line is ASCII character 32 or 45 (a space or a minus sign).
- The second column of each line is ASCII character 32 (a space)
- If the first column contains a minus sign, the string starting in column 3 is a D\_Locator (relative to the node under which the structure file is found).
- Directly following such a line may be lines starting with ASCII characters 32, 32, 46, 32, 32 followed by a string **X: Z** so that **X** is denoting an attribute and **Z** a value for this attribute. (ASCII character 46 is the dot).
- Please note that an attribute **X** in this sense can also be a correlation type (or the name of the relation **Is\_keyword\_for**).

- If the value **Z** is a D\_Locator not starting with a number, it must be given relative to the node under which the structure file is found. It must have this form if X is a value of D\_CorrelationType. This is to ensure that knowledge packages and items therein that are results – or even practice instances – will always be self contained.

### **Rationale for the Knowledge Structure Format:**

The reader may wonder why we do not require structure files to be in XML format. The reason for this decision is that knowledge administrators – and especially people submitting results to be included into the knowledge database – shall be able to read and edit structure files in a painless way.

Note also that structure files may contain comment (comment are all text sections not starting with a line containing a minus sign in their first column). Comment sections should always follow an empty line.

### **Rationale for the Format of Knowledge Packages:**

As long as a knowledge package is not zipped, it is simply a tree of files (i.e. a data structure the user and knowledge administrator is used to work with). This will also minimize the need for creating values of type D\_Locator explicitly.

Dialogs to be supported by WissDB can be quite simple, and structure files can be generated to a very large degree by a suitable utility that is capable of being evoked via e.g. ANT, make, or nmake.

## 2 WissDB: The Logical Data Model

Because the preceding section did not allow any redundancy in the specification, we now show the result in terms of a complete Entity Relationship Model.

**Notation semantics** are explained at the end of this diagram (the diagram is given in form of text derived automatically from the formal data model specification in section 2. It is object oriented in as far as the description of an entity type is always embedded into a section showing in detail also all its super- and subtypes.

The description of an entity type includes all structure of that type, i.e. attributes and relationships).

### c: **E\_DomainValues**

.pk	D_DomainName	a_DomName
.pk	D_ValueName	a_DomValue
.nn	D_ValueNumber	a_ValueAsNr
.	D_Comment	a_Semantics
.	D_Date	a_ObsoleteSince

### c: **E\_Process**

.pk	D_Locator	a_Loc
.nn	E_KnowledgeItem	a_Description
.	E_Role	a_Role

<-- can occur as: E\_Result.of

### c: **E\_Role**

.pk	D_Locator	a_Loc
.nn	E_KnowledgeItem	a_Description

<-- can occur as: E\_Process.Role

c: **E\_KnowledgeItem**

```
.pk D_Locator          a_Loc
.nn D_ItemType         a_Type
. BLOB                 a_NodeValue

<-- can occur as:     R_Is_keyword_for.for
<-- can occur as:     R_Is_related_to.B
<-- can occur as:     R_Is_related_to.A
<-- can occur as:     E_Role.Description
<-- can occur as:     E_Process.Description
```

|  
**E\_Result**

```
| e_KnowledgeItem
.nn D_ViewType         a_View
.nn D_AbstractionType a_Abstraction
.nn D_UsageType        a_Usage
. E_Process           a_of
```

|  
**E\_Practice**

```
| e_KnowledgeItem
| e_Result
. INT                 a_PracticeType
. D_Counter           a_reuse_0
. D_Counter           a_reuse_1
. D_Counter           a_reuse_2
. D_Counter           a_reuse_3
```

|  
**E\_Candidate**

```
| e_KnowledgeItem
.nn D_EmailAddress    a_from
```

c: **R\_Is\_related\_to**

```
.pk E_KnowledgeItem   a_A
.pk E_KnowledgeItem   a_B
.pk D_CorrelationType a_Correlation
```

c: **R\_Is\_keyword\_for**

```
.pk E_Aspect          a_keyword
.pk E_KnowledgeItem   a_for
```

c: **E\_Aspect**

```
.pk D_Locator          a_Loc

<-- can occur as:     R_Is_keyword_for.keyword
```

c: **E\_Alias**

```
.pk D_ProjectLocator      a_Nr  
.   D_Locator             a_Loc
```

**Notation Semantics:**

Prefixes E\_, R\_, S\_, A\_, e\_ in front of a name show what kind of concept the name x in question is denoting:

E\_x is an entity class. Note however:

We write e\_x instead of E\_x where we want to say that E\_x is occurring in the role of a superclass.

If a class E\_x1 is a specialization of another class E\_x, i.e. if each instance of E\_x1 can be also be seen as an instance of type E\_x, then e\_x is meant to be the group of all attributes of E\_x1 defined in E\_x already (the set of all inherited attributes).

R\_x is entity class E\_x representing entity associations that are not of cardinality 1:n or n:1 (they need not even be binary relations).

A\_x is an attribute of an entity class, same as a\_x() in C++ or Java.

S\_x is a complex attribute (i.e. a set of attributes), same as a\_x() in C++ or Java.

We write a\_x where we do not care to see whether attribute x is complex or not.

D\_x is a domain, i.e. an attribute type.

pk says that this attribute is part of the entity's primary key.

nn says that this attribute must be NOT NULL.

c: is to mark core entity types (types that do not specialize any other type). Types not marked as core entity types inherit structure from their - always unique - direct supertype).

A Core Type is an entity type that is not a specialization of another entity type in the model shown.

**Specialisation hierarchies are shown in this form:**

```
|  
E_x3  
|   e_x1  
|   e_x2  
.   E_x3 structure not inherited
```

means: E\_x3 specializes E\_x2 (so that all structure of E\_x2 is also structure of E\_x3). E\_x3 is a direct subtype of E\_x2, and E\_x2 is a direct subtype of E\_x1.

## Entity Relationship Structure is shown as follows:

```
E_x1
--> set of E_x2  a_Name
```

means: Given any object x1 of type E\_x1, x1.a\_Name() is an instance of a List h\_Name defined in the ERD (the Name can be seen as describing the role of the list members in relation to x1).

```
E_x1
.   E_x2  x3      (to be read as: <-- E_x2.x3  )
```

means: Each value E\_x1.A\_x3 is primary key of an entity of type E\_x2, so that ( E\_x1, E\_x2 ) is a relation of cardinality (m:1) or (1:1).

```
E_x1
.   D_x2  x3
.   S_x2  x3
```

means: Each value E\_x1.A\_x3 is an attribute of type D\_x2 (resp. S\_x2, S\_x2 a set of attributes such that records of type S\_x2 make sense in themselves).

R\_x1 is a type E\_x1 that can be interpreted as a relationship type of a specific dimension n, 2 <= n.

Note: Classes E\_x without relation structure --> or <<- tend to be superfluous. They form a self-contained ERD model which quite often does not make sense in isolation.

Here is an example: Classes meant to be enumerations such as e.g.

### E\_Category

```
.   A_Value          D_ValueName
.   A_Semantics      D_Comment
.   A_ObsoleteSince  D_Date
```

need usually not exist in form of a separate table. To model it in form of a domain D\_Category together with an attribute A\_ObsoleteSince in the table E\_DomainValues would be better:

### E\_DomainValues

```
.   A_Domain          D_DomainName
.   A_Value           D_ValueName
.   A_Semantics       D_Comment
.   A_ObsoleteSince   D_Date
```

For WissDB it is mandatory to implement the table E\_DomainValues describing enumeration types because otherwise their **Values allowed** would not be configurable.

### 3 WissDB: The Physical Data Model

From the formal specification given in section 2, the following physical data model is derived.

The reader may wonder why there is an additional table **DomainValues**. Its content is meant to document

- which values are actually allowed in a domain that is an enumeration of constants
- and also what these constants mean (semantics).

To have such documentation in the database already is to make its content self-describing.

Not to have a table **DomainValues** would be acceptable only if the constants were not coded to be – in their physical presentation – integer values.

```
CREATE TABLE DomainValues
(
    UpdateNr          INTEGER          NOT NULL
,   DomName          CHAR (20)        NOT NULL
,   DomValue         CHAR (240)       NOT NULL
,   ValueAsNr       INTEGER          NOT NULL
,   Semantics        CHAR (240)
,   ObsoleteSince   DATE
,
PRIMARY KEY (
    DomName
,   DomValue
)
);
```

```
CREATE TABLE Aspect
(
    UpdateNr          INTEGER          NOT NULL
,   Loc              VARCHAR(255)     NOT NULL
,
PRIMARY KEY (
    Loc
)
);
```



```

CREATE TABLE Process
(
    UpdateNr          INTEGER          NOT NULL
    ,
    Loc               VARCHAR(255)    NOT NULL
    ,
    DescriptionLoc    VARCHAR(255)    NOT NULL
    ,
    RoleLoc           VARCHAR(255)
    ,
    PRIMARY KEY      (
        Loc
    )
)
;

```

```

CREATE TABLE Role
(
    UpdateNr          INTEGER          NOT NULL
    ,
    Loc               VARCHAR(255)    NOT NULL
    ,
    DescriptionLoc    VARCHAR(255)    NOT NULL
    ,
    PRIMARY KEY      (
        Loc
    )
)
;

```

```

CREATE TABLE Is_related_to
(
    UpdateNr          INTEGER          NOT NULL
    ,
    ALoc              VARCHAR(255)    NOT NULL
    ,
    BLoc              VARCHAR(255)    NOT NULL
    ,
    Correlation       INTEGER          NOT NULL
    ,
    PRIMARY KEY      (
        ALoc
    ,
        BLoc
    ,
        Correlation
    )
    ,
    CONSTRAINT       fk1_Is_related_to
    FOREIGN KEY      (
        ALoc
    )
    REFERENCES       KnowledgeItem
    (
        Loc
    )
    ON DELETE SET NULL
    ,
    CONSTRAINT       fk2_Is_related_to
    FOREIGN KEY      (
        BLoc
    )
    REFERENCES       KnowledgeItem
    (
        Loc
    )
    ON DELETE SET NULL
)
;

```

```

CREATE TABLE Is_keyword_for
(
    UpdateNr          INTEGER          NOT NULL
,   keywordLoc       VARCHAR(255)     NOT NULL
,   forLoc           VARCHAR(255)     NOT NULL
,
PRIMARY KEY        (
    keywordLoc
,   forLoc
)
,
CONSTRAINT        fk1_Is_keyword_for
FOREIGN KEY       (
    keywordLoc
)
REFERENCES        Aspect
(
    Loc
)
ON DELETE SET NULL
,
CONSTRAINT        fk2_Is_keyword_for
FOREIGN KEY       (
    forLoc
)
REFERENCES        KnowledgeItem
(
    Loc
)
ON DELETE SET NULL
)
;

```

```

CREATE TABLE Union_KnowledgeItem
(
    UpdateNr          INTEGER          NOT NULL
,   OfType           CHAR(100)
,   Loc              VARCHAR(255)     NOT NULL
,   Type             INTEGER          NOT NULL
,   NodeValue        BLOB
,   View             INTEGER          NOT NULL
,   Abstraction      INTEGER          NOT NULL
,   Usage            INTEGER          NOT NULL
,   ofLoc            VARCHAR(255)
,   PracticeType     INTEGER
,   reuse_0          INTEGER
,   reuse_1          INTEGER
,   reuse_2          INTEGER
,   reuse_3          INTEGER
,
PRIMARY KEY        (
    Loc
)
)
;

```

```

CREATE VIEW KnowledgeItem
AS SELECT *
      , UpdateNr
      , Loc
      , Type
      , NodeValue

FROM Union_KnowledgeItem
WHERE OfType = Result
OR OfType = Practice ;

```

```

CREATE VIEW Result
AS SELECT *
      , UpdateNr
      , Loc
      , Type
      , NodeValue
      , View
      , Abstraction
      , Usage
      , ofLoc

FROM Union_KnowledgeItem
WHERE OfType = Result
OR OfType = Practice ;

```

```

CREATE VIEW Practice
AS SELECT *
      , UpdateNr
      , Loc
      , Type
      , NodeValue
      , View
      , Abstraction
      , Usage
      , ofLoc
      , PracticeType
      , reuse_0
      , reuse_1
      , reuse_2
      , reuse_3

FROM Union_KnowledgeItem
WHERE OfType = Practice ;

```

```

CREATE VIEW Candidate
AS SELECT *
      , UpdateNr
      , Loc
      , Type
      , NodeValue
      , from

FROM Union_KnowledgeItem
WHERE OfType = Candidate ;

```

**Note:** The attribute **UpdateNr** found in each record is a record-specific version number. It is helpful to detect conflicts in the context of semi-transactions (i.e. non-atomic, so-called long transactions).

**Note also:** Because the WissDB database must not allow different objects to have the same D\_Locator value in their A\_Loc attribute, we need to implement an index guaranteeing this restriction database- wide. It must be an index containing normed versions of the D\_Locator values because locator values, when used to represent file paths, may not be case-sensitive: They are not case-sensitive under MS Windows, WissDB however is to store them respecting case (as Unix does).

This index should also know the case-sensitive version of each locator (which is defined to be the first version given to the database) and should be used to guarantee, that – if a locator X is a first part of another locator Y, this substring of Y is always shown exactly as X itself is shown.

## 4 ERD Notation: A formal Language to specify Data Models

ERD Design Notation is a formal language

- to specify Entity Relationship Data Structures of the most general type,

i.e. also those in which

- Relationships may have attributes, and
- Relationships may be n-ary (i.e. may not be just binary relationships).

What you describe are:

- Entity types (= entity name, and a sequence of typed entity attributes), and
- Domain types.

A typed attribute in this sense is

- an attribute with values in a specified Domain, or
- an attribute with values in a specified Entity type (generating relationships).

In order to avoid redundancy, you can – for abbreviation –

- use structures (i.e. named sets of domain-valued attributes), and
- use subclassing (i.e. see an entity type as a set of attributes which is a subset of another, more specific entity type)

Whenever you want to refer to a specific domain, entity type, attribute, or set of attributes, you give its **typed name**, i.e. its name prefixed by

- **D\_** for **Domain**
- **E\_ e\_** for **Entity Type** respectively **Entity Type seen as a Set of Attributes**
- **A\_** for **Attribute**
- **S\_** for **Set of Attributes**

The code generator takes for input an ASCII file but will see only lines of the following form (if the hyphen is in the first column of the file and is followed by one and only one space):

```
- ec      E_name
- eca     A_          e_name
- eca     A_name     D_name
- eca     A_name     S_name
- d       D_name     Name of an SQL_type supported by your DBMS
```

Instead of

```
- eca
```

you are to write

- **eca, pk**

if these attribute (or set of attributes) is part of the primary key of the entity in question. You are to write

- **eca, kn**

*n* a positive integer, if the attribute (or set of attributes) is part of a secondary key called *kn*. Because keys (seen as sets of attributes) may overlap, you can have lines such as, e.g.

- **eca, pk, k2, k5**

If an attribute must not be allowed to have NULL values, say so by saying

- **eca, nn**

in the line specifying a name for this attribute (or set of attributes).

## A small Sample Specification

```
- ec          E_Book
              |
- eca, pk     A_Title           D_Title
- eca         A_Author          E_Person
```

```
- ec          E_Person
              |
- eca, pk     A_FirstName       D_Name
- eca, pk     A_LastName        D_Name
- eca, nn     A_Gender           D_Gender
```

```
- d          D_Title           CHAR(80)
- d          D_Name            CHAR(20)
```

```
- d          D_Gender          INT
```

Valid values are:

```
- v          . male
- v          . female
```